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Climate Change in Peconic Estuary

- Sea Level Rise
  - Property Loss
  - Salt Water Intrusion

- Mean Temperature Rise
  - Growing seasons
  - Species changes
    - Blooms, Invasives, Loss of natives

- Rain and Snowfall
  - Storm Intensity
    - Runoff, Erosion, Water Quality
  - Changing Amounts/Patterns
Medium Sea Level Rise
Medium Sea Level Rise: Category 2 Storm
Medium Sea Level Rise: Category 3 Storm
Climate Ready Assessment Services
Comprehensive Conservation and Management Plan (CCMP)

- Blueprint to restore and protect the waters of the Peconic Bays

Brown Tide, nutrients, habitat and living resources, pathogens, toxic pollutants, and **critical lands protection**
Critical Lands Protection Strategy (CLPS)

- Chapter 7 of CCMP
- Evaluate land available for development
- Identify priorities for protection
- Does not include agricultural land

**Table 7. CRITICAL LANDS PROTECTION STRATEGY (CLPS)**

**Environmental Criteria used to identify critical lands:**

1. **Shoreline** – located within 1000 feet of the shoreline of a bay, tidal creek or the Peconic River
2. **NWI** – contains freshwater or tidal wetlands as identified by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 1994 National Wetlands Inventory (NWI)
3. **CNRA** – within a Critical Natural Resource Area, areas of particular ecological significance designated by the Peconic Estuary Program (further described in the Habitat and Living Resources Chapter of the CCMP)
4. **N-Stressed** – within a nitrogen-stressed subwatershed as designated by the Peconic Estuary Program (further described in the Nutrients Chapter of the CCMP)

**Priority Categories:**

1. **Aggregates** - Multiple parcels of any size, that meet at least one (1) environmental criteria and that form an aggregate of $\geq$ 10 acres
2. **10 Up** - Parcels of $\geq$ 10 acres that meet at least one (1) environmental criteria
3. **3 Hits 1000’** - Parcels of any size that meet at least three (3) environmental criteria including 1000 feet from the shoreline
4. **Adjacent to protected** - Parcels of any size that meet at least one (1) environmental criteria and that are adjacent to protected lands of $\geq$ 2 acres
Overview and Approach

- **Issue:** CCMP and CLPS have not taken climate change into account

- **Approach:**
  - Assess risk and vulnerability of the natural resources within the PEP due to climate change
  - Develop recommendations to augment environmental criteria in the CCMP and update the CLPS
  - Develop climate ready action plan to address prioritized climate change risks and vulnerabilities.

- **Public Process**

- **Team:** Anchor QEA, TNC, Fine Arts and Sciences
Objectives

- Identify opportunities to develop green coastal protection solutions to protect against imminent and long-term climate change effects
- Emphasize nature-based solutions
Step 1: Reprioritization of Parcels

- Develop new CLPS screening criteria and priorities
  - shoreline advance
  - increasing precipitation intensity
  - storm event frequency

- Changes may also alter coastal dynamics, sediment migration, erosion, and water quality
Developing New Criteria

• Considerations:
  – Parcels predicted to return to underwater or wetland habitat
  – Inland properties that could transition to shoreline positions under climate change scenarios
  – Existing living shoreline and opportunities for new living shorelines under climate change scenarios
  – Parcels that would be at risk for erosion under future climate change scenarios and may require shoreline protection if developed
  – Areas appropriate for inland wetland migration in the face of rising sea level
Step 2: Map It
Step 3: Solutions

- Address multiple goals
  - Preservation
  - Conservation
  - Restoration
Ecosystem Based Adaptation

“The use of biodiversity and ecosystem services as part of an overall adaptation strategy to help people to adapt to the adverse effects of climate change.” - TNC
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Abstract

**Background:** Salt marshes lie between many human communities and the coast and have been presumed to protect these communities from coastal hazards by providing important ecosystem services. However, previous characterizations of these ecosystem services have typically been based on a small number of historical studies, and the consistency and extent to which marshes provide these services has not been investigated. Here, we review the current evidence for the specific processes of wave attenuation, shoreline stabilization and floodwater attenuation to determine if and under what conditions salt marshes offer these coastal protection services.

**Methodology/Principal Findings:** We conducted a thorough search and synthesis of the literature with reference to these processes. Seventy-five publications met our selection criteria, and we conducted meta-analyses for publications with sufficient data available for quantitative analysis. We found that combined across all studies (n = 7), salt marsh vegetation had a significant positive effect on wave attenuation as measured by reductions in wave height per unit distance across marsh vegetation. Salt marsh vegetation also had a significant positive effect on shoreline stabilization as measured by accretion, lateral erosion reduction, and marsh surface elevation change (n = 30). Salt marsh characteristics that were positively correlated to both wave attenuation and shoreline stabilization were vegetation density, biomass production, and marsh size. Although we could not find studies quantitatively evaluating floodwater attenuation within salt marshes, there are several studies noting the negative effects of wetland alteration on water quantity regulation within coastal areas.
Challenges

- PEP supports
  - 5 townships
  - 35 hamlets, towns, and villages, and SIN
  - Different economies at different times of the year

- Preserving all the remaining available lands within the estuary would provide the highest form of protection, but...
  - funding
  - existing priorities
Other Projects
Linked Hydrodynamic-HAB Model Developed for Quantuck Bay

- Brown tide blooms
- Hydrodynamic-HAB model developed and calibrated
  - Calibrated model ran at various nitrogen load reduction scenarios
- Results suggest system not nitrogen limited; observed algal patterns result of light limitation
Investing in Road-Stream Crossings Benefits Communities & Natural Systems

Road-stream crossings, which include culverts and bridges, are an essential element of our transportation networks, allowing roads to pass over rivers, streams, tidal creeks, and marshes. Our communities and our economies depend on functioning road networks and safe crossings as well as healthy rivers, streams, wetlands and bays.

Undersized, poorly designed, or failing infrastructure at road-stream crossings restricts the flow of tidal and freshwater streams, which can lead to flooding, road closures, property damage, disconnected and degraded natural systems, and impaired water quality. During major storms, undersized culverts worsen flood impacts. Well-designed culverts bring environmental and safety benefits while also saving communities money, because they last longer and require less frequent maintenance.
Questions/Discussion